

Attorney's Docket No.: 06618/776001/CIT3395

REMARKS

Reconsideration and allowance of the above referenced application are respectfully requested.

The objections to the disclosure have been corrected herein by amendment. The undersigned apologizes for these typographical errors. The dependency of Claims 18, 19, 20 and 22 have been corrected. Claim 26 has also been amended in accordance with the suggestion made in the 112 rejection, and as amended should obviate the rejection under section 112.

Initially, the indication that Claims 4, 10, 14, 16, 24 27 and 28 represent allowable subject matter is appreciatively noted. the limitations of Claims 2-4 have been incorporated into Claim 1. Claim 10 is amended into independent form. Claim 11 has been amended to include the limitations of Claims 13 and 14 therein. Claim 16 has been amended into independent form. Claim 23 has been amended to include limitations of Claim 24 therein. Claim 26 has been amended into independent form. Each of these claims should be allowable as per the indication of same in the official action.

The limitations which are similar to those in Claim 16, specifically establishing a correspondence between homologous parts across the training set of images, has also been added to

FEB 06 2006

Attorney's Docket No.: 06618/776001/CIT3395

Claim 17. This is not taught or suggested by any of the cited prior art, and hence this limitation should similarly render the claim allowable.

Therefore, it is respectfully suggested that all remaining rejections should be obviated, and a notice from the merits is respectfully requested.

It is believed that all of the pending claims have been addressed in this paper. However, failure to address a specific rejection, issue or comment, does not signify agreement with or concession of that rejection, issue or comment. In addition, because the arguments made above are not intended to be exhaustive, there may be reasons for patentability of any or all pending claims (or other claims) that have not been expressed. Finally, nothing in this paper should be construed as an intent to concede any issue with regard to any claim, except as specifically stated in this paper, and the amendment of any claim does not necessarily signify concession of unpatentability of the claim prior to its amendment.


Applicants ask that all claims be allowed. Please apply the one month extension of time fee in the amount of \$60, and

Attorney's Docket No.: 06618/776001/CIT3395

any other applicable charges or credits, to Deposit Account  
No. 06-1050.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: February 6, 2006

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Scott C. Harris  
Reg. No. 32,030

Fish & Richardson P.C.  
PTO Customer No. 20985  
12390 El Camino Real  
San Diego, California 92130  
(858) 678-5070 telephone  
(858) 678-5099 facsimile

10595722.doc